

REMARKS

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed October 25, 2007, reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

According to the Notice, the amendment document filed on August 15, 2007 (the "Amendment") is considered non-compliant because "claim 1 fails to underline the newly amended term 'said' within the second limitation beginning with using linguistic characteristics". Claim 1 has been corrected to underline 'said' within the claim. According to the Notice, "claim 2 fails to underline the newly amended portion of 'and applying caseframes' and 'application of caseframes', as found on line 3 of the claim". Claim 2 has now been corrected to underline 'and applying caseframes' and 'application of caseframes' within the claim. According to the Notice, "claim 11 fails to include the original phrase 'combining like'". Claim 11 has now been corrected to delete the original phrase '~~combining like~~' from within the claim. Also, according to the Notice, "claim 15 fails to include the original phrase 'interpreting the free text to'". Claim 15 has now been corrected to delete the original phrase '~~interpreting the free text to~~' from within the claim.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe the claims as amended are in allowable form. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, or which may be overcome by an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 26th day of November 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

/Carl T. Reed/ Reg. # 45454

CARL T. REED

Registration No. 45,454
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 022913
Telephone No. 801.533.9800